

Bronwyn Beatty-Hansen

- DC would make Ames fall even further behind on CAP (make Lightedge comply)
 - Establish policies that support CAP
- AI concerns – not supportive
- Environmental costs
- DC driving up costs
- LE proposal – what is the community benefit:
 - Consumer resources
 - Produce noise
 - Diesel generators
 - Water disasters – data center contaminate water supply
 - No jobs (new)
- DC is a leach. Takes and takes and provides nothing
- LE should build municipal electric generation first. As “earnest money”
 - Improve public system
- Didn’t move forward w/solar farm, why able to move forward on LE project?
- Autism – nightmare living near data farms: hums & noise
 - Neurological torture
- City council can control when they approve projects, they should not be concerned about LE schedule
- Assumption that the City doesn’t want this. Encourage city to engage in scientific polling about community’s thoughts
- Cost/benefit analysis includes community benefits
- Future use of LE bldg., limits, accountability, guarantees
- Start w/3mw, scaling up to 25 mw
- Jobs created – may not live in Ames
- Lightedge – expansion of bldg. into future. What control?
- Danfoss site – potentially better site, reuse
- Lean toward against, online discussion negative, not heard any pros about DCs. Info stored – “surveillance site” Who gets access to info. Want CC to find cities w/dcs, hear from residents about their experiences – utilities
 - Some dc could build own power source?
 - Trying to be open-minded
- Environmental studies – data center humming causing bodily harm. Havanna Syndrome – in Cuba issues because of noise, etc

- Against LE, not seeing benefits. Worry about water usage, diesel generation, 24/7 will impact air quality
- Seem more harm than good
- Process to get moratorium?
- Why isn't there an environmental expert providing input?
 - Independent, unbiased
- July 14 meeting – memo from attorney – Linn County model
- Want to know we can trust city council, transparency
- Comprehensive policy before or after LE? Don't let LE through before policy
- City should work toward Ban or moratorium. Clear that the community is not in favor. Zoning, regs to ban
- Cities should work together for bans
- Need to address all people
- Opposed both projects (Lightedge/DCs)
- Lightedge represents itself – ballcap
 - 2021 purchased by US company
- Not comfortable – industry mistrust, corporate ownership
- Brought “token” people, presentation felt performative, opaque
- Lightedge not convinced they thought through or what they plan are turnkey
 - No grandfathering
 - Create policy and then consider proposal
 - Data centers across state – huge user of electricity (1/4)
- Moratorium – figure out ways to make policy first to our advantage support green energy
- Data Center, in general, not necessarily opposed. Lightedge presentation – said not AI, compared to website servers
- Talked about inference system – LE in support. Not hyperscaler but using technology
- Need specific policies to keep in check
- Benefit to the community? what is the benefit?
- Undecided about project. Want council to address:
 - The rent. Is it market rate? Should not be giving away farm
 - Lease needs to have escalator, who owns buildup, environmental impacts of use
 - In event of outage (derecho) do they get priority in electric restoration? Favored industry status?
- Unclear date use? Noise levels of existing sites? What would be the noise level of Lightedge Ames project?

- Say what they want now, but what are the limits in the future?
- If they change operations, how would we know? Trust!
- Third generation farmer, experience w/environmental issues. Closed system loops need top-off. Discharge contains harmful chemicals (heavy metal)
 - Plan for wastewater (discharge)
 - Treatment
 - Monthly top-off amount (quantity) 25% of total system capacity
 - Heat discharge
- Recent homebuyer – data center issue – question of trust. Irresponsible to bring data center without policy, need mechanism to reign in. National issue
- Electricity prices – Ames Electric rates. Municipal power. City is transparent about issues. Concerns about current capacity and equipment. Future capacity. Not driving up costs for rate payer. Reliability? Purchasing electricity from grid. Local electricity. Cost of electricity in region.
- Expanding electric capacity request other resources
- Regionally, utilities have vested in expanded generation that isn't used. Project backs off
- Blackstone doesn't need any incentives
- Back-up generation required – diesel powered
 - Noise, air quality comprised
- Impact to ISU ability to attract students and faculty
 - Reputation damage
- What is CC schedule for dealing with these issues?
- How much additional public land is available around airport?
 - Important to consider for future decision making